



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/769,294

01/26/2001

Ronald Fredrik Michael Johnson

053881-010100

4829

22191

7590

03/20/2008

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD, 12TH FLOOR

MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

GORT, ELAINE L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3687

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/20/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kinneyb@gtlaw.com

tcopatdkt@gtlaw.com

goepelj@gtlaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/769,294	Applicant(s) JOHNSON, RONALD FREDRIK MICHAEL	
	Examiner Elaine Gort	Art Unit 3687	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elaine Gort. (3) James Goepel.
 (2) Ronald Fredrik. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Peachey-Koutz and Salvo.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Applicant's invention and differences then the prior art. Discussed potential areas of patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elaine Gort/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687

 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.